

DESC Shear Calibration

Sidney Mau

DESC Sprint Week 2024

October 30, 2024

Shear Calibration

See [Amon et al. \[2105.13543\]](#), [MacCrann et al. \[2012.08567\]](#)

- Shape measurement: $\epsilon^{\text{obs}} = (1 + m)(\epsilon^{\text{int}} + \gamma) + c$

Shear Calibration

See [Amon et al. \[2105.13543\]](#), [MacCrann et al. \[2012.08567\]](#)

- Shape measurement: $\epsilon^{\text{obs}} = (1 + m)(\epsilon^{\text{int}} + \gamma) + c$
- Shear measurement: $\gamma^{\text{obs}}(\theta) = \int dz n(z) \gamma(\theta, z)$

Shear Calibration

See [Amon et al. \[2105.13543\]](#), [MacCrann et al. \[2012.08567\]](#)

- Shape measurement: $\epsilon^{\text{obs}} = (1 + m)(\epsilon^{\text{int}} + \gamma) + c$
- Shear measurement: $\gamma^{\text{obs}}(\theta) = \int dz n(z) \gamma(\theta, z)$
- Shear calibration: $\Delta \gamma^{\text{obs}} = \int dz (1 + m) n(z) \Delta \gamma(\theta) \rightarrow m_i, \delta \bar{z}_i$

Shear Calibration

See [Amon et al. \[2105.13543\]](#), [MacCrann et al. \[2012.08567\]](#)

- Shape measurement: $\epsilon^{\text{obs}} = (1 + m)(\epsilon^{\text{int}} + \gamma) + c$
- Shear measurement: $\gamma^{\text{obs}}(\theta) = \int dz n(z) \gamma(\theta, z)$
- Shear calibration: $\Delta \gamma^{\text{obs}} = \int dz (1 + m) n(z) \Delta \gamma(\theta) \rightarrow m_i, \delta \bar{z}_i$
- Shear correlation function: $\xi_{\text{obs}}^{ij} = (1 + m^i)(1 + m^j) \xi^{ij}$

Shear Calibration

Shear calibration parameters are sampled when running chains via, e.g., [CosmoSIS](#):

```
[shear_calibration_parameters]  
m1 = gaussian 0.0 0.0091  
m2 = gaussian 0.0 0.0078  
m3 = gaussian 0.0 0.0076  
m4 = gaussian 0.0 0.0076
```

shear is calibrated by marginalizing over these parameters

Measuring Shear Bias from Simulations

- Shear measurements are characterized by multiplicative (m) and additive (c) biases

$$\hat{g} = (1 + m)g + c$$

Measuring Shear Bias from Simulations

- Shear measurements are characterized by multiplicative (m) and additive (c) biases

$$\hat{g} = (1 + m)g + c$$

- Construct unbiased estimator of the shear *bias* following [Pujol et al. \[1806.10537\]](#)

$$\hat{m} = \frac{\langle \hat{g}^+ \rangle - \langle \hat{g}^- \rangle}{2|g|} - 1 \quad \text{multiplicative bias}$$

$$\hat{c} = \frac{\langle \hat{g}^+ \rangle + \langle \hat{g}^- \rangle}{2} \quad \text{additive bias}$$

where $\langle \hat{g}^+ \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{g}^- \rangle$ are mean measured shears from *image simulations with equal and opposite true shears of magnitude $|g|$*

DES Y6 Image Simulations

- Simulate 12 shear variants to measure response of observed shear due to true shear at different redshifts
- Simulate 1000 tiles ($\sim 450 \text{ deg}^2$ total) of DES Y6 data
- Simulate single-epoch images with features from corresponding DES images
 - Pixel geometry (4096×2048 pixel DECam CCD exposures)
 - Variance plane of Gaussian noise
 - World-coordinate system (WCS) solution
 - PIFF point-spread function (PSF) models
 - Bad pixel masks including bright stars, bleed trails, etc.
- Galaxy properties from bulge+disk fits to COSMOS field galaxies with positions and luminosity sampling from Cardinal simulations
- *Gaia* stars with matched `lsst_sim` (TRILEGAL) extension to faint magnitudes

DES Y6 Image Simulations – Data

Input data (1000 tiles, $\sim 450 \text{ deg}^2$)

- Exposure data & systematics (4 bands) [16T]
- Galaxies: bulge+disk fits to COSMOS resampled with Cardinal [95G]
- Stars: *Gaia* + lsst_sim [1.6G]

Output data (400 tiles, 12 shears)

- simulation outputs (coadds, etc.) [67T]
- reduced MDET catalogs [111G]

DES Y6 Shear Calibration – Image Simulations



DES Y6 Shear Calibration – Image Simulation Campaigns

Image Simulations			Shear Algorithms	
configuration	# tiles	# shears	MDET	BFD
fiducial	1000	12	✓	✓
no stars	400	2	✓	✓
median color	400	2	✓	
grid (median color)	400	2	✓	
grid (true color)	400	2	✓	✓
grid (exp)	100	2	✓	

m_i and $\delta\bar{z}_i$ measured from the fiducial simulations for the final shear calibration

DESC Shear Calibration

DESC Shear Calibration – Discussion Points

- Shear measurement algorithms
 - MDET
 - ANACAL
 - BFD
 - Bayesian pipelines
- Consistent calibration across shear measurement methods
- Image simulations
 - DES Y6-like data-driven monte carlo simulations of Rubin observations ([seacliff](#))
 - ab-initio sims to test effects of residuals from ISR tasks on calibrated visit images for shear recovery (with imSim)
 - Direct simulations of cell-based coadds for shear calibration and SSI (Zhou)
- Synthetic source injection